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#### Abstract

We present an exact algebraic solution of a single graphene plane in transverse electric and perpendicular magnetic fields. The method presented gives both the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions of the graphene plane. It is shown that the eigenstates of the problem can be cast in terms of coherent states, which appears in a natural way from the formalism.


(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

## 1. Introduction

A major breakthrough in condensed matter physics took place when Novoselov et al [1], at Manchester University, UK, discovered an electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films. This electric field effect is characterized by the control of the electronic density in the films using a backgate set-up. These atomically thin carbon films were thought not to exist since long range order in two dimensions cannot occur. The system solves the apparent paradox by forming ripples. A single atomic layer of these thin carbon films is called graphene and its electric and magneto-electric properties triggered a new research field in condensed matter physics. The manufacture of graphene was followed by the production of other 2D crystals [2], which, however, do not have the same exciting properties as graphene does. Applying high magnetic fields to a graphene sample, the Manchester group discovered that the quantization rule for the Hall conductivity is not the same as one observes in the two-dimensional electron gas, being given instead by [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\text {Hall }}=4\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{e^{2}}{h} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $n$ an integer including zero. A confirmation of this result was independently obtained by Philip Kim's group [4], at Colombia University, New York, USA. This new quantum Hall effect was predicted by two groups working independently and using different methods [5, 6]. As explained by the two groups the new quantization rule for the Hall conductivity is a


Figure 1. Valence and conduction bands of electrons in graphene. The two bands touch each other at six points of the Brillouin zone, called Dirac points.
consequence of the dispersion relation of the electrons in the honeycomb lattice. This dispersion resembles the spectrum of ultra-relativistic particles, i.e., the dispersion is that of particles of zero rest mass and an effective velocity of light. For graphene the effective velocity of light is $v_{\mathrm{F}}=c / 300$, with $c$ the true velocity of light.

For a qualitative description of the physics of graphene, both theoretical and experimental, see references [7] by Castro Neto et al, [8] by Katsnelson, and [9] by Geim and Novoselov.

In figure 1 we show the energy dispersion of electrons in the honeycomb lattice. The spectrum shows a valence (lower) and a conduction (upper) bands. Since graphene has one electron per unit cell, the valence band is completely filled and the properties of the system are determined by the nature of its spectrum close to the points where the valence and the conduction bands touch each other. These points are called Dirac points and number six. In figure 2 we show the spectrum close to the Dirac points. It is clear that the spectrum has conical shape of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E= \pm v_{\mathrm{F}} p \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is the magnitude of the momentum $p$ around the Dirac point.
A relativistic particle has an energy given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\sqrt{m^{2} c^{4}+p^{2} c^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore an ultra-relativistic particle $(m \rightarrow 0)$ has a spectrum given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=c p . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear from equation (4) that electrons in graphene, close to the Dirac points, have an energy dispersion with a formal equivalence to ultra-relativistic particles. As a consequence the quantum properties of the system have to be described by the massless (ultra-relativistic) Dirac equation in two plus one dimensions.

We are interested in studying the spectrum of massless Dirac particles in the presence of a magnetic field perpendicular to the plane and an in-plane homogeneous electric field, both static, a situation that occurs in the Hall effect. In the next section we present a full algebraic solution to this quantum problem.


Figure 2. Valence and conduction bands close to one of the six Dirac points. It is clear that the bands can be approximated by a conical dispersion.

## 2. Algebraic solution

### 2.1. Hamiltonian

The massless Dirac equation in two plus one dimensions has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\sigma_{x} p_{x}+\sigma_{y} p_{y}\right) \Psi(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\mathrm{i} \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(\boldsymbol{r}, t)}{\partial t} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{i}, i=x, y, z$, represents the Pauli spin matrices and $p_{i}, i=x, y$, is the $i$ component of the momentum operator in the position basis $p=-\mathrm{i} \hbar \nabla$. Since we are looking for stationary states, we make the substitution $\Psi(\boldsymbol{r}, t)=\psi(\boldsymbol{r}) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \epsilon t / \hbar}$. This substitution transforms the Dirac equation into an eigenvalue problem of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\sigma_{x} p_{x}+\sigma_{y} p_{y}\right) \psi(\boldsymbol{r})=\epsilon \psi(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The introduction of a magnetic field into a quantum mechanical problem is made by transforming the momentum operator according to the rule (minimal coupling)

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \rightarrow p-q A(r) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r})$ is the vector potential and $q$ is the charge of the particle. The magnetic field $\boldsymbol{B}$ is obtained from $\boldsymbol{A}$ using the relation $\boldsymbol{B}=\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A}$. There is a lot of freedom in choosing $\boldsymbol{A}$ and a common choice, known as Landau gauge, is $\boldsymbol{A}=(-B y, 0,0)$. Let us now assume that in addition to the magnetic field one has a homogeneous electric field, perpendicular to the magnetic field, and oriented along the $y$ direction. This adds to the Hamiltonian a term of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
q V \mathbf{1}=q \mathcal{E} y \mathbf{1}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is the electric potential associated with the applied electric field $\boldsymbol{E}=(0, \mathcal{E}, 0)$ and $\mathbf{1}$ is the $2 \times 2$ unit matrix.

Putting this all together, the problem of a graphene layer in the presence of a magnetic field perpendicular to the layer and of an electric field parallel to the layer has its Hamiltonian, in the position basis, given by

$$
H(x, y)=v_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q \mathcal{E} y / v_{\mathrm{F}} & p_{x}-\mathrm{i} p_{y}+q B y  \tag{9}\\
p_{x}+\mathrm{i} p_{y}+q B y & q \mathcal{E} y / v_{\mathrm{F}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The eigenproblem $H(\boldsymbol{r}) \psi(\boldsymbol{r})=\epsilon \psi(\boldsymbol{r})$ can be further simplified by representing the eigenfunction $\psi(\boldsymbol{r})$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(r)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k x} \phi(y) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

suggested by the translational invariance of equation (9) along the $x$ direction. Because we are dealing with electrons one has $q=-e$, with $e>0$. Using equation (10) in (9) we obtain

$$
v_{\mathrm{F}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-e \mathcal{E} y / v_{\mathrm{F}} & k \hbar-\mathrm{i} p_{y}-e B y  \tag{11}\\
k \hbar+\mathrm{i} p_{y}-e B y & -e \mathcal{E} y / v_{\mathrm{F}}
\end{array}\right) \phi(y)=\epsilon \phi(y)
$$

Next we perform a change of variables $y=\bar{y} l_{\mathrm{B}}+l_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} k$ and $\partial / \partial \bar{y}=l_{\mathrm{B}} \partial / \partial y$ (corresponding to the introduction of the adimensional length $\bar{y})$, with $l_{\mathrm{B}}=\sqrt{\hbar /(e B)}$ the magnetic length, and introduce the operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{\bar{y}}+\partial / \partial \hat{\bar{y}}),  \tag{12}\\
& a^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{\bar{y}}-\partial / \partial \hat{\bar{y}}), \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

which satisfy the standard commutation relation $\left[a, a^{\dagger}\right]=1$. Note that in equations (12) and (13) we have used the hat to distinguish between operators and their matrix elements in a given basis.

Performing standard manipulations the Hamiltonian operator can be brought into a more transparent form

$$
\hat{H}=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e \mathcal{E} l_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} k+E_{\mathrm{B}}\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right) & E_{\mathrm{F}} a  \tag{14}\\
E_{\mathrm{F}} a^{\dagger} & e \mathcal{E} l_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} k+E_{\mathrm{B}}\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $E_{\mathrm{F}}=\sqrt{2} v_{\mathrm{F}} \hbar / l_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{B}}=e \mathcal{E} l_{\mathrm{B}} / \sqrt{2}$. The eigenvalue equation one needs to solve has the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E_{\mathrm{B}}\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right) & E_{\mathrm{F}} a  \tag{15}\\
E_{\mathrm{F}} a^{\dagger} & E_{\mathrm{B}}\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right)
\end{array}\right)\binom{\left|a_{1}\right\rangle}{\left|a_{2}\right\rangle}=\epsilon_{0}\binom{\left|a_{1}\right\rangle}{\left|a_{2}\right\rangle}
$$

where $\epsilon_{0}=-\left(\epsilon+e \mathcal{E} l_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} k\right)$. The eigenproblem is now in its most simplified form, with an effective Hamiltonian operator given by

$$
\hat{\mathcal{H}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E_{\mathrm{B}}\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right) & E_{\mathrm{F}} a  \tag{16}\\
E_{\mathrm{F}} a^{\dagger} & E_{\mathrm{B}}\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

### 2.2. Diagonalization method

Before going further with the diagonalization it is worth mentioning some properties of the Hamiltonian operator $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$, defined in equation (16). First we define $\hat{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}$ as

$$
\hat{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}=\sigma_{z} \hat{\mathcal{H}} \sigma_{z}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E_{\mathrm{B}}\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right) & -E_{\mathrm{F}} a  \tag{17}\\
-E_{\mathrm{F}} a^{\dagger} & E_{\mathrm{B}}\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right)
\end{array}\right),
$$

which, by definition, is an operator acting on the same Hilbert space as $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$. Then we make the observation that both $\hat{\mathcal{H}}+\hat{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}$ and $\hat{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ can be written as the $2 \times 2$ unit matrix multiplied by a
simple operator (not a matrix operator) plus a $2 \times 2$ real matrix. The same holds for their linear combination, which enables us to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\hat{\mathcal{H}}+\hat{\overline{\mathcal{H}}})+v \hat{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} \hat{\mathcal{H}}=\hat{J} \mathbf{1}+\boldsymbol{K}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some simple operator $\hat{J}$, some $2 \times 2$ real matrix $\boldsymbol{K}$, and real $\mu$ and $\nu$. Now let the spinor $|\psi\rangle$ be an eigenstate of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$. Applying the left hand member of equation (18) to $|\psi\rangle$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mu(\hat{\mathcal{H}}+\hat{\overline{\mathcal{H}}})+\nu \hat{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} \hat{\mathcal{H}}]|\psi\rangle=\left(\mu \epsilon_{0}+\mu \hat{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}+\nu \epsilon_{0} \hat{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}\right)|\psi\rangle \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that if we choose $\mu=\epsilon_{0}$ and $\nu=-1$ we reduce our problem to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\hat{J} \mathbf{1}+\boldsymbol{K})|\psi\rangle=\epsilon_{0}^{2}|\psi\rangle \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{J}=\left(E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-2 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\right) \hat{n}-E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\left(a a+a^{\dagger} a^{\dagger}\right)+2 \epsilon_{0} E_{\mathrm{B}}\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right), \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{n}=a^{\dagger} a$ is the number operator, and

$$
\boldsymbol{K}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} & E_{\mathrm{F}} E_{\mathrm{B}}  \tag{22}\\
-E_{\mathrm{F}} E_{\mathrm{B}} & -E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Equation (20) is indeed simpler than our starting point, equation (15). We readily see that the spinor $|\psi\rangle$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi\rangle=\binom{\left|a_{1}\right\rangle}{\left|a_{2}\right\rangle} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi\rangle=|\phi\rangle\binom{ u}{v} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\phi\rangle$ is the eigenvector of the operator $\hat{J}$ and the spinor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{R}=\binom{u}{v} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the right eigenvector of the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{K} \chi^{R}=\lambda \chi^{R} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Nevertheless, there is one subtlety we must consider. Our simpler eigenproblem defined by equation (20) is such that their eigenvalues are $\epsilon_{0}^{2}$, the squared eigenvalues of the original problem given by equation (15). If $\epsilon_{0}$ in equation (15) and $\epsilon_{0}^{2}$ in equation (20) have the same degeneracy, it is guaranteed that eigenvectors of both problems are the same, and we can carry on the diagonalization with any of these equations. If, however, both $+\epsilon_{0}$ and $-\epsilon_{0}$ are eigenvalues of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ in equation (15), then our simple eigenproblem in equation (20) will show an extra double degeneracy. This extra degeneracy must be handled carefully. Due to mixing, the corresponding degenerate eigenvectors need not to be eigenstates of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$, and these have to be found as particular linear combinations of the degenerated eigenvectors. It is instructive to switch off the electric field, for which equation (15) can be easily solved [5], and check whether the above problem shows up in the present case.

### 2.3. Zero electric field case

In the absence of the electric field we have $\mathcal{E}=E_{\mathrm{B}}=0$. As a consequence the operator $\hat{J}$ in equation (21) is already in its diagonal form, being analogous to the 1 D harmonic oscillator: $\hat{J}|n\rangle=E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} n|n\rangle$. As regards the eigenproblem defined by equation (26) for $\mathcal{E}=0$, we can obtain the corresponding eigenvectors as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{+}^{R}=\binom{1}{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \chi_{-}^{R}=\binom{0}{1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda_{+}=E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}$ and $\lambda_{-}=0$, respectively. Recalling equation (20) we get $\epsilon_{0}^{2}(n, \pm)=E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} n+\lambda_{ \pm}$, where we recognize immediately the double degeneracy $\epsilon_{0}^{2}(n,+)=$ $\epsilon_{0}^{2}(n+1,-)=E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}(n+1)$. This degeneracy is in fact due to the presence of both $+\epsilon_{0}$ and $-\epsilon_{0}$ as eigenvalues of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ in the absence of electric field. Solving equation (15) directly for $\mathcal{E}=0$ gives [5] $\epsilon_{0}= \pm E_{\mathrm{F}} \sqrt{n+1}$ in addition to the zero eigenvalue $\epsilon_{0}=0$, with eigenvectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi\rangle_{ \pm}=\binom{|n\rangle}{ \pm|n+1\rangle} \quad \text { and } \quad|\psi\rangle_{0}=\binom{0}{|0\rangle} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively for nonzero and zero eigenvalues. Therefore, we see that our method gives correctly $|\psi\rangle_{0}$, the only non-degenerate eigenvector,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi\rangle_{0}=|0\rangle \chi_{-}^{R} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $|\psi\rangle_{ \pm}$is given as the bonding and anti-bonding combination of degenerate eigenvectors $|n\rangle \chi_{+}^{R}$ and $|n+1\rangle \chi_{-}^{R}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi\rangle_{ \pm}=|n\rangle \chi_{+}^{R} \pm|n+1\rangle \chi_{-}^{R} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

As extra degeneracies due to the presence of a finite electric field are not to be expected, we will be able to identify any double degeneracy arising from equation (20) as a consequence of the presence of symmetrical eigenvalues $\pm \epsilon_{0}$ in the original problem.

### 2.4. Finite electric and magnetic fields

With the above analysis in mind we proceed with the diagonalization of our problem in a finite electric and magnetic fields using equation (20). Let us start by solving equation (26). The corresponding eigenvalues are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{ \pm}=-E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \pm E_{\mathrm{F}} \sqrt{E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-4 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as right eigenvectors we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{ \pm}^{R}=\sqrt{\left|\frac{E_{\mathrm{B}}}{E_{\mathrm{F}}}\right|}\binom{-\sqrt{C_{ \pm}}}{1 / \sqrt{C_{ \pm}}}, \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{\mathrm{F}} /\left|E_{\mathrm{B}}\right| \pm \sqrt{E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} / E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}-4}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (31) we see that $E_{\mathrm{F}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{B}}$ must satisfy the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \geqslant 4 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

if real eigenvalues are to be obtained. The meaning of this inequality is discussed later. Having solved eigenproblem (26), the eigenproblem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{J}|\phi\rangle=\left(\epsilon_{0}^{2}-\lambda_{ \pm}\right)|\phi\rangle \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

remains to be solved. The solution of eigenproblem (35) is obtained in three steps. First we write the operator $\hat{J}$ as the sum of two terms, $\hat{H}_{1}+\hat{H}_{2}$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{1}=\left(E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-2 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\right) \hat{n}-E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\left(a a+a^{\dagger} a^{\dagger}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{2}=2 \epsilon_{0} E_{\mathrm{B}}\left(a+a^{\dagger}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a second step we diagonalize the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{1}$ using the canonical transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\dagger}=\cosh U \gamma^{\dagger}-\sinh U \gamma \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding Hermitian conjugated form for $a$. Replacing the $a^{\dagger}$ and the $a$ operators in (36), one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{H}_{1}=E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} 2 \sinh U \cosh U+\left(E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-2 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\right) \sinh ^{2} U+\left(\gamma^{\dagger} \gamma^{\dagger}+\gamma \gamma\right)\left[-E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\left(\cosh ^{2} U+\sinh ^{2} U\right)\right. \\
&\left.\quad-\left(E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-2 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\right) \sinh U \cosh U\right]+\gamma^{\dagger} \gamma\left[\left(E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-2 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\right)\left(\cosh ^{2} U+\sinh ^{2} U\right)\right. \\
&\left.+4 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} \sinh U \cosh U\right] . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

In order for $\hat{H}_{1}$ to be diagonal we require that the coefficient multiplying the $\left(\gamma^{\dagger} \gamma^{\dagger}+\gamma \gamma\right)$ term should be null, leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\left(\cosh ^{2} U+\sinh ^{2} U\right)-\left(E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-2 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\right) \sinh U \cosh U\right]=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be cast in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tanh (2 U)=-\frac{2 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}}{E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-2 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that since $\cosh U>0$ for any value of $U$ one must have $\sinh U<0$ in order to satisfy equation (41). The result (41) together with $\cosh ^{2} U-\sinh ^{2} U=1$ can be recast in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sinh ^{2} U=-\frac{1}{2}\left[1-\left(E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-2 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\right) / \omega\right] \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cosh ^{2} U=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\left(E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-2 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\right) / \omega\right] \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sinh U \cosh U=-\frac{E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}}{\omega} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\omega=\sqrt{E_{\mathrm{F}}^{4}-4 E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}>4 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}$. Using the results for $\sinh U$ and $\cosh U$ one can write the piece $\hat{H}_{1}$ of the full Hamiltonian as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\omega-\left(E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-2 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\right)\right]+\omega \gamma^{\dagger} \gamma \equiv C_{1}+\omega \gamma^{\dagger} \gamma \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C_{1}=\left[\omega-\left(E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-2 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\right)\right] / 2$. The piece $\hat{H}_{2}$ has now the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{2}=2 \epsilon_{0} E_{\mathrm{B}}(\cosh U-\sinh U)\left(\gamma^{\dagger}+\gamma\right) \equiv C_{2}\left(\gamma^{\dagger}+\gamma\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}=2 \epsilon_{0} E_{\mathrm{B}}(\cosh U-\sinh U)$. The third step requires the diagonalization of $\hat{H}_{1}+\hat{H}_{2}$ in the new form, written in terms of the $\gamma$-operators; this is accomplished by the transformation $\gamma^{\dagger}=\beta^{\dagger}+Z$ (with $Z=-C_{2} / \omega$ ), leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{1}+\hat{H}_{2}=C_{1}-\frac{C_{2}^{2}}{\omega}+\omega \beta^{\dagger} \beta \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has the desired diagonalized form. The eigenenergies of the Hamiltonian (47) have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n}=C_{1}-\frac{C_{2}^{2}}{\omega}+\omega n=\frac{1}{2}\left[\omega-\left(E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-2 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\right)\right]-\frac{4 \epsilon_{0}^{2} E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}}{\omega^{2}}+\omega n, \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the ground state obeys the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta|0 ; \beta\rangle=0 \Leftrightarrow \gamma|0 ; \beta\rangle=Z|0 ; \beta\rangle \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

One should note that the state $|0 ; \beta\rangle$ is an eigenstate the $\gamma$ operator with eigenvalue $Z$; it is therefore said that $|0 ; \beta\rangle$ is a coherent state of the operator $\gamma$. This last result allows us to write the vacuum of the $\beta$ operators in terms of the vacuum of the $\gamma$ operators as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|0 ; \beta\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{Z \gamma^{\dagger}}|0 ; \gamma\rangle \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and any eigenstate is written in terms of the $\beta$-operators as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|n ; \beta\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}\left(\beta^{\dagger}\right)^{n}|0 ; \beta\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}\left(\gamma^{\dagger}-Z\right)^{n} \mathrm{e}^{Z_{\gamma^{\dagger}}}|0 ; \gamma\rangle \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenenergies $\epsilon_{0}^{2}$ of our simpler eigenproblem defined in equation (20) are obtained from (see equation (35)) $\epsilon_{0}^{2}-\lambda_{ \pm}=\omega_{n}$, leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{0}^{2}(n, \pm)=\frac{\omega^{3}}{E_{\mathrm{F}}^{4}}[n+(1 \pm 1) / 2] \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The double degeneracy $\epsilon_{0}^{2}(n,+)=\epsilon_{0}^{2}(n+1,-)$ for $n \neq 0$ is immediately recognized. From the analysis we have made in sections 2.2 and 2.3 it is now obvious that this degeneracy signals the presence of both solutions $\pm \epsilon_{0}$ in the original problem (equation (15)). Moreover, as the eigenvectors of the finite electric field problem have to equal equation (28) when $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ we arrive at the following solution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(n, \pm)=-e \mathcal{E} l_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} k \mp \frac{\left(E_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}-4 E_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}\right)^{3 / 4}}{E_{\mathrm{F}}^{1 / 2}} \sqrt{n+1} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

with eigenvectors given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi\rangle_{ \pm}=\sqrt{\left|\frac{E_{\mathrm{B}}}{E_{\mathrm{F}}}\right|}\binom{-|n ; \beta\rangle \sqrt{C_{+}} \mp|n+1 ; \beta\rangle \sqrt{C_{-}}}{|n ; \beta\rangle / \sqrt{C_{+}} \pm|n+1 ; \beta\rangle / \sqrt{C_{-}}}, \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{ \pm}$is defined in equation (33). In addition, there is a single non-degenerate solution $\epsilon_{0}^{2}(0,-)=0$, which gives $\epsilon=-e \mathcal{E} l_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} k$, and has as eigenvector

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi\rangle_{0}=\sqrt{\left|\frac{E_{\mathrm{B}}}{E_{\mathrm{F}}}\right|}\binom{-\sqrt{C_{-}}}{1 / \sqrt{C_{-}}}|0 ; \beta\rangle \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

This concludes our solution. The eigenvalues (53) agree with those obtained by Lukose et al [10]. These authors solved the problem directly in the position basis by transforming the original problem, by means of a Lorentz boost transformation, into a case where the electric field is null.

### 2.5. Physical interpretation

The standard 2D electron gas pierced by a perpendicular magnetic field is known after Landau [11] to have a spectrum given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(n)=\hbar \omega_{\mathrm{c}}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

in complete analogy with the quantum harmonic oscillator, where $\omega_{\mathrm{c}}=|e B| / m$ is the cyclotron frequency for electrons with mass $m$. The so-called Landau levels are equally spaced with level separation $\hbar \omega_{\mathrm{c}}$, which increases linearly with $B$. An in-plane electric field is easily handled by


Figure 3. First ten Landau levels as a function of $|\mathcal{E}| / v_{\mathrm{F}}|B|$. The quantity $E_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the cyclotron energy $\hbar \tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{c}}$. The momentum $k$ was chosen to be zero.
the transformation $a^{\dagger}=b^{\dagger}+e \mathcal{E} l_{\mathrm{B}} /\left(\hbar \omega_{\mathrm{c}} \sqrt{2}\right)$, whose major consequence is a shift of the entire spectrum,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(n)=-e \mathcal{E} l_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} k-\frac{e^{2} \mathcal{E}^{2} l_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}}{2 \hbar \omega_{\mathrm{c}}}+\hbar \omega_{\mathrm{c}}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

with no change for the cyclotron frequency.
Landau levels in graphene are completely different from Landau levels in standard 2D electron gas. As mentioned in section 2.3, graphene's spectrum in perpendicular magnetic field is given by [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(n)= \pm \hbar \tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{c}} \sqrt{n}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the cyclotron frequency is $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{c}}=\sqrt{2} v_{\mathrm{F}} / l_{\mathrm{B}}$. Two major differences become apparent when comparing equations (58) and (56). Firstly, Landau level spacing in graphene is not constant due to the square root in equation (58). Secondly, the standard 2D electron gas has $\omega_{\mathrm{c}} \propto B$ whereas graphene shows $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{c}} \propto \sqrt{B}$. These dissimilarities are a direct consequence of the effective ultra-relativistic nature of the quasi-particles in graphene.

As regards the presence of an in-plane electric field and perpendicular magnetic field in graphene we have shown that Landau levels are given by equation (53), which can be cast in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(n)=-e \mathcal{E} l_{\mathrm{B}}^{2} k \mp \hbar \Omega_{\mathrm{c}} \sqrt{n}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots, \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the new cyclotron frequency reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mathrm{c}}=\sqrt{2} \frac{v_{\mathrm{F}}}{l_{\mathrm{B}}}\left[1-\mathcal{E}^{2} /\left(B^{2} v_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}\right)\right]^{3 / 4} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, unlike the usual 2D electron gas, graphene's cyclotron frequency is renormalized by the electric field, as can be seen in equation (60), which, of course, reduces to $\tilde{\omega}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in the limit $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$. More important though is the fact that $|\mathcal{E}| \leqslant v_{\mathrm{F}}|B|$ must be realized if $\Omega_{\mathrm{c}}$ is to be real. This last inequality is exactly the same expressed in equation (34), and its meaning is now unveiled. As $\mathcal{E}$ approaches $v_{\mathrm{F}} B$ from below, $\Omega_{\mathrm{c}}$ becomes smaller and smaller, and Landau levels become closer and closer together. Eventually, the electric field is such that $|\mathcal{E}|=v_{\mathrm{F}}|B|$, which implies $\Omega_{\mathrm{c}}=0$, and consequent collapse of Landau levels. In figure 3 we show the first
ten Landau levels (for positive and negative energies) as a function of $|\mathcal{E}| / v_{\mathrm{F}}|B|$; the collapse of the Landau levels is clear. For $|\mathcal{E}|>v_{\mathrm{F}}|B|$ the present solution is not valid.

## 3. Concluding remarks

The problem of a single graphene plane in transverse electric and perpendicular magnetic fields assembles in a simple way several algebraic methods of diagonalizing bilinear problems. The matrix form of the Dirac Hamiltonian-the low energy effective Hamiltonian for graphenecalls for several non-standard manipulations where canonical transformations and the concept of coherent state appear in a natural way. Furthermore, like the standard 2D electron gas pierced by a magnetic field, an additional in-plane electric field in graphene induces cyclotron frequency renormalization. Moreover, when the electric field equals the critical value $v_{\mathrm{F}} B$, Landau level collapse is observed.
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